

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,853	12/14/2001	Janette Lin	P14738-PUCN	7417
7590 07/14/2004			EXAMINER	
Roger S. Burleigh Ericsson Inc 6300 Legacy Drive MS/EVW2-C-2			FITZGERALD, KAHLIL G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Plano, TX 75024			2681	
			DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004	2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	. 👗	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
		10/016,853	LIN ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
		Kahlil G Fitzgerald	2681	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	_
THE - Exter after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replayer of the provisions of 30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuting received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 E</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	cepted or b) objected to by the E drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) <u> </u>	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureasee the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application prity documents have been receive nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
2) Notice 3) Information	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P. 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/016,853

Art Unit: 2681

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 5, 9, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Salin, US Patent #5400390, (hereinafter Salin) in view of Mouly et al., The GSM System for Mobile Communications, 1992, Chapter 7.2 "Security Management," pp 477-492 (hereinafter Mouly).

Regarding claims 1, 5 9, and 13, Salin teaches a method of establishing an incoming call in a wireless telecommunications system, and the components thereof comprising:

receiving a call request for a mobile terminal at a Gateway Mobile Switching Center (GMSC) (column 4: lines 64-66);

sending a request for routing information from said GMSC to a Home Location Register (HLR) associated with said mobile terminal (column 4:line 68 to column 5: line 1);

sending a request for a roaming number from said HLR to a Mobile Switching Center (MSC) associated with the roaming area in which said mobile terminal is geographically located (column 5: lines 4-8);

allocating a roaming number for said mobile terminal (column 5: line 11);

Application/Control Number: 10/016,853

Art Unit: 2681

sending a response to said request for roaming number from said MSC to said HLR, said response including said roaming number;

receiving said roaming number at said HLR (column 5: lines 12-13);
sending said roaming number from said HLR to said GMSC (column 5: lines 13-15);
sending a call setup request from said GMSC to said MSC, said call setup request including said roaming number (column 5: lines 16-21);

Salin does not disclose expressly transmitting an authentication code along with the roaming number between the HLR, GMSC and MSC, in completing call setup. However the procedure of authenticating an incoming call is well known in the art via the GSM specification as mentioned by Salin (column 5: lines 23-27), and disclosed by Mouly.

Mouly discloses authentication in a telecommunications network during call setup including sending an authentication request from the MSC to the mobile station, and sending an authentication response from the mobile station (page 488: "The MS-MSC Procedure"). In the telecommunications system as proposed in the instant application, said authentication request would pass from MSC to HLR to GMSC, and the call setup would be completed if said authentication response was appropriate.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the call setup procedure of Salin with authentication process of Mouly to obtain a wireless telecommunications system as proposed in the instant application in order to protect the network against unauthorized access, and to protect the privacy of users, as suggested by Mouly (page 477: paragraph 4).

Application/Control Number: 10/016,853

Art Unit: 2681

3. Claims 2-4, 6-8, 10-12, and 14-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Page 4

Salin and Mouly as applied to claims 1, 5, 9, and 13 above, and further in view of Grootwassink, US

Patent #6725037 (hereinafter Grootwassink).

Salin and Mouly do not disclose expressly an authentication code as a function of roaming

number, the time at which said roaming number is requested, or the date on which said roaming

number is requested. However an authentication procedure using such subscriber information is

taught by Grootwassink.

Grootwassink teaches the use of various validation data during authentication including the

user's mobile phone number, and user profile data (column 5: lines 1-5). Although Grootwassink

does not expressly mention date and time, it is obvious that these items are a part of user profile

data, as they are necessary in the billing of any mobile phone call.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to combine call setup and authentication procedure of Salin and Mouly with the validation

data of Grootwassink to allow a particular user to access a communications network, as suggested

by Grootwassink (column 4:lines 65-67).

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure:

Offer, US Patent #6745023;

Daniels, US Patent #6285871; and

Lu, US Patent #6640108.

Art Unit: 2681

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kahlil G Fitzgerald whose telephone number is (703) 308-0123. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 8:30-7PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Erika Gary can be reached on (703) 308-0123. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). 7/7/04

> JEAN GELIN PATENT EXAMINER

Kahlil & Fitzgerald

Jean Alland Gelin Art Unit 2681